

Contributions to the Hypermapping of Diocletian's Palace:

Publisher

Institut za povijest umjetnosti, Zagreb
[Institute of Art History]

On behalf of the Publisher

Katarina Horvat-Levaj

Edited by

Anči Leburić, Leslie Lok, Ana Šverko

Executive Editor

Tomislav Bosnić

Reviewers

Joško Belamarić

Maja Furlan Zimmermann

Tadej Glažar

Ana Grgić

Ivana Mance

Goran Nikšić

Ivan Rupnik

Karin Šerman

Frano Violich

Translation

Sarah Rengel

Design and layout

Damir Gamulin

Copyright 2023 by Institute of Art History Zagreb.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

This book has been supported by the funds of the Ministry of Culture and Media of the Republic of Croatia, the Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Croatia, and the City of Split.

Contributions to the Hypermapping of Diocletian's Palace:
Layered Space and Conceptions of Place

Essays by

Damir Gamulin

Leslie Lok

Ana Šverko

Sasa Zivkovic



In “Ultimate Collage City”, Sasa Zivkovic proposes an original “re-reading” of the well-known architecture of Diocletian’s Palace through the prism of the theoretical approach of the Collage City, first defined by Colin Rowe and Fred Koetter. Considering its material transformations through recycling and reuse, Diocletian’s “multi-didactic Palace”, as Zivkovic suggestively calls it, presents a model for a wider discussion about circularity in the construction of future buildings and cities. The Palace, subtly and inspiringly interpreted in this essay as a dynamic archive, effectively advocates for an “ultimate” collage city – a city where collage concepts are iteratively applied throughout history, resulting in a blend of material conditions, narratives, and spatial circumstances.

(From a review by Goran Nikšić)

In “Figure to Mat”, Leslie Lok intriguingly explores and interprets Diocletian’s Palace through the perspective of Smithson’s mat-building concept using the already tested comparative key metrics + program + place. The fact that the flexible theoretical framework of mat-building allows for the independence of this concept of the specifics of architectural expression, which means that the identification of mat-building is not subject to unambiguous code, clearly confirms that, even after several decades, references to Smithson’s concept are still highly relevant.

(From a review by Ana Grgić)

The Lok and Zivkovic essays work excellently together, both with a reimagination of how Diocletian’s Palace transcends our understanding of what constitutes a “mat” condition. While Zivkovic discusses “matness” in the form of geological and later accreditive architectural collage, Lok “unpacks” the concept – the more urbanistic aspects of what it is to be mat-like.

(From a review by Frano Violich)

“Time-Inclusive Design” by Ana Šverko is a remarkable exploration of Diocletian’s Palace as a model for managing change in historical places, cities, and public realms, which implies minimal transformations of form. She successfully demonstrates how inclusive design principles can be applied to historical sites, promoting accessibility and inclusivity while respecting the site’s heritage. This essay is of utmost importance for contemporary processes in the city of Split and especially in its core, since our thinking, feeling, and acting affects the ways we view and change, conserve, or destroy our physical and spiritual environment as well as overall human relations. This essay is highly recommended for architects, urban designers, planners, historians, researchers of the humanities and anyone interested in the referential and experiential aspects of time in architecture, and explorations of architecture’s temporal dimensions.

(From a review by Tadej Glažar)

“Thinking Shadows, Drawing Place” by Damir Gamulin sets out to frame the need for a methodology that balances preservation and intervention in a historically relevant structure, the core of Split, which grew out of the palace of the Roman emperor, Diocletian. The author utilizes shadow as a means with which to reframe the conversation around this complex urban environment (...) I see this essay as a prospectus for a research project connected to this work on Diocletian’s Palace that could result in some very relevant scholarship both for this particular site and for models of intervention in historically sensitive environments in general (...) This kind of research and scholarship is sorely lacking and desperately needed both globally and within the author’s immediate context.

(From a review by Ivan Rupnik)

Contents

- 10** Acknowledgements
- 12** Editor's preface
- 20** Sasa Zivkovic
ULTIMATE COLLAGE CITY
Mat-Materiality and Constructed Collage
in Diocletian's Palace
- 52** Leslie Lok
FIGURE TO MAT
The Urbanism of Diocletian's Palace
- 74** Ana Šverko
TIME-INCLUSIVE DESIGN
Exploring Diocletian's Palace as
a Model for Managing Change in Places
- 114** Damir Gamulin
THINKING SHADOWS, DRAWING PLACE
Translating Knowledge of Diocletian's Palace
into Dynamic Design Guidelines and Constraints
- 174** Bibliography
- 180** About the Authors



Split, ortophotograph, 2019

City of Split



Acknowledgements

This book was inspired by an international workshop dedicated to discussions on the future of Diocletian's Palace, organized in Split in 2016. The book would not have been possible without the students that participated in the workshop, especially Bruno Bartulović, Marin Bodrožić, Inka Černić, Diana Jukić, Samantha Vanessa Pavić, Marija Petričević, Dora Stupalo, and Karlo Ugarković, whose drawings were used as illustrative material in this book. We are additionally grateful to Inka Černić, who provided visual consistency for the drawings.

We would also like to thank our reviewers: Joško Belamarić, Maja Furlan Zimmermann, Karin Šerman, Tadej Glažar, Ana Grgić, Ivana Mance, Goran Nikšić, Ivan Rupnik, and Frano Violich. We are deeply grateful for their insights and keen eyes.

We extend our gratitude to the Institute of Art History, especially the Institute's director, Katarina Horvat-Levaj, secretary Nela Gubić, and Ana Ćurić, the Institute's Associate for Project Management and Public Relations, for their support during the preparation process for this book. The book is supported by funding from the Ministry of Science and Education and the Ministry of Culture and Media of the Republic of Croatia, as well as the City of Split.

Editor's Preface

The palace of the Roman emperor Diocletian in Split, Croatia is a late antique structure built around 300 AD. Over time, it has transformed into a city and now forms part of the historic core of Split, serving as both a residential and public space. This typologically-layered structure stands as a living monument, continuously building and changing – both physically and symbolically – for over 1700 years. Throughout its history, considerable parts of the original Palace have been repurposed or adapted, layered and added. The Palace remains flexible, adapting to shifts in the social and political life of its residents, and undergoing continuous physical alteration to its ancient foundations. Even today, the ancient, medieval, Renaissance, Baroque, nineteenth, twentieth-century, and contemporary elements of the city coexist within its original perimeter walls.

According to Joško Belamarić, Diocletian's Palace originally included a textile factory, and was partly adapted into a residence for the retired emperor. Extreme contrasts in the architectural-urbanistic structures of Ancient Rome were further compounded by the addition of Egyptian columns and sphinxes during construction, and followed by numerous elements from later centuries. The eastern Adriatic coast is a peripheral yet dynamic territory that has, throughout history, been exposed to shifting cultural and artistic influences. This region is marked by conflict and unstable borders between East and West. The Palace has thrived within this intricate political and social landscape since its foundation, representing a collage of artistic, architectural, and urbanistic elements assimilated from various

sources within a broad cultural context. Diocletian's Palace stands as a palimpsest, exemplifying a heterogeneous building adorned with a rich tapestry of layered spoliae atop its robust, original Roman form. Given that the building emerged through a transcultural dialogue spanning nearly two millennia, the Palace demands a multifaceted contextualization.

The transformation of the late antique structure into a medieval city generated a convergence of distinct spatial sequences, construction materials, and decorative elements, along with unique relationships between public and private spaces. This evolution has fascinated architects for centuries, particularly since the time of Robert Adam, who was the first to meticulously examine and document the Palace in the mid-eighteenth century. Its transformative nature – the process of morphogenesis in which fragments or entire objects traverse from one spatial and/or chronological context into another, thereby becoming complementary and acquiring new meaning and value – held a strong appeal for the modern, especially the postmodern mentality. It is the works of neo-classicist and postmodernist authors that serve as a foundation for the four texts collected in this book. As a set, the writings intend to offer fresh perspectives on architecture, urbanism, design, and Diocletian's Palace itself. In recent decades, as the Palace achieved iconic status in global tourism, it gradually receded from the focus of theoreticians and creative planning disciplines. One of our reviewers, Ivan Rupnik, a former Associate Editor of the *Journal of Architectural Education* (JAE), collaborated on the

development of a scholarly article format within the journal known as *Design as Scholarship*. This format enables designers to publish scholarly writing on their design work and reflect on it as a form of research, generating new knowledge for their discipline. Unlike other professional fields such as law, medicine, business management, and even the related field of urban planning, which have established clear pathways connecting practice and academia through case study scholarship, architecture and design have struggled to establish a similar framework. *Design as Scholarship* is intended to bridge that gap. Rupnik's insights prompted us to dissolve the boundary between theory and practice in this publication. Given the tangible transformations' impact on the intangible environment, Diocletian's Palace serves as a valuable research model for contemporary architects, designers, conservators, and sociologists in both theory and practice.

Our intention was to investigate Diocletian's Palace from a comparative perspective that resonates with its evolution as a dynamic place. By perceiving the Palace as a spatial construct interweaving diverse chronological and cross-cultural fragments, this approach would present its complexity through four different viewpoints, each explored by one of the authors. We found our inspiration for the book during the international design workshop "Living Monument: Mat-Organisation and Diocletian's Palace: What If?", held within the Palace and organized by the authors and editors of this book in Split in 2016. To explore Diocletian's Palace as a living monument from theoretical and practical lenses, we

examined and conceptualized its attributes as a form of mat-urbanism. Our goals were to identify and experience the Palace as a highly complex spatial conglomeration and provide a conceptual framework for design speculations that explore radical “what if” scenarios to address contemporary issues of tourism, conservation, and modernization within the city. Our dialogues about Diocletian’s Palace continued intensively even after the workshop, the methodology and ideas guiding our work developed from the various analytical lenses, and in a sense, organically from the nature of the Palace itself.

In “Ultimate Collage City”, Sasa Zivkovic proposes an original “re-reading” of material transformations - recycling and reuse - of Diocletian’s Palace through the prism of the theoretical approach of the Collage City, first defined by Colin Rowe and Fred Koetter. Goran Nikšić highlights that the author, through the development of that concept, presents the Palace as a model for a wider discussion about circularity in the construction of future buildings and cities.

Meanwhile, in “Figure to Mat”, Leslie Lok interprets Diocletian’s Palace through Alison Smithson’s concept of mat-building, drawing a comparison between its spatial principles and the Palace’s malleable growth. The flexible theoretical framework of mat-building allows the concept to remain independent of singular architectural expressions, exhibiting adaptability over time, akin to the Palace’s evolution. This underscores that the identification of mat-building is not subject to an unambiguous code, as Ana Grgić notes, confirming the enduring relevance of references to Smithson’s

concept. While, as Frano Violich remarks, Zivkovic discusses “matness” in the form of geological and later accreditive architectural collage, Lok “unpacks” the concept in urbanistic aspects of what it is to be mat-like. “Time-Inclusive Design” by Ana Šverko is, according to Tadej Glažar, a thought-provoking exploration of Diocletian’s Palace as a model for managing change in historical places, cities, and public realms, which implies minimal transformations of form. She draws attention to the referential and experiential aspects of time in architecture, and an exploration of architecture’s temporal dimensions.

Continuing that thread in “Thinking Shadows, Drawing Place”, Damir Gamulin sets out to frame the need for an interwoven, iterative methodology of preservation and intervention within historically significant structures. He does so by leveraging the phenomenon of “spatial shadow” as a unique aesthetic phenomenon, but more than that – as a conceptual tool to redefine the conversation about bridging the tangible and intangible aspects of this multifaceted urban environment. According to Ivan Rupnik, shadows, as discussed in this work, could be seen as phenomena as well as noumena in the context of Split’s historic core, and this approach could result in relevant scholarship both for this particular site and for models of intervention in historically sensitive environments in general.

While thinking about the title of this collection, we borrowed the prefix “hyper” from the book *HyperCities* by Todd Presner, David Shepard, and Yoh Kawano, which refers to multiplicity and abundance. The book explains:

More than a physical space, a hypercity is a real city overlaid with information networks that document the past, catalyse the present, and project future possibilities. Hypercities are always under construction. Todd Presner, David Shepard, and Yoh Kawano put digital humanities theory into practice to chart the proliferating cultural records of places around the world (...) Not a book about maps in the literal sense, *HyperCities* describes thick mapping: the humanist project of participating and listening that transforms mapping into an ethical undertaking. Ultimately, the digital humanities do not consist merely of computer-based methods for analysing information. They are a means of integrating scholarship with the world of lived experience, making sense of the past in the layered spaces of the present for the sake of the open future.¹

In the broad concept of hypermaps (so characteristic of the Palace as a kind of sediment), we understood the essays gathered here as layers of thinking about the Palace through architectural and urban design strategies as a contribution to its hypermapping, in order to develop design thinking.

1 See: <https://www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780674725348>

Bibliography

- Abbott, John. "Understanding and Managing the Unknown: The Nature of Uncertainty in Planning". *Journal of Planning Education and Research* Vol. 24, No. 3 (2010): 237-251.
- Adam, Robert. *Ruins of the Palace of the Emperor Diocletian at Spalato in Dalmatia*. London, 1764.
- Allen, Stan. *Practice: Architecture, Technique + Representation*. Expanded 2nd edition. London; New York: Routledge, 2009.
- Avermaete, Tom. *Stem and Web: A Different Way of Analysing, Understanding and Conceiving the City in the Work of Candilis-Josic-Woods*. <http://www.team10online.org/research/papers/delft2/avermaete.pdf>.
- Banić, Gorana; Šverko, Ana and Šverko, Ivana. "Answer to the Pressure of Tourism in Split Historic Core: Centralization and Decentralization as Equal Aspects of the Transformation of Public Space". In: *International Scientific Conference 'Rethinking Urbanism': Urbanist's Season: proceedings: 134-138*. Edited by Karač, Zlatko. Zagreb: Croatian Architects' Association, 2012.
- Begović, Saša; Dabrović, Marko; Grozdanić Begović, Tanja and Novak, Silvije. "Interactions: #250". Catalogue of 3LHD Interactions exhibition at the Museum for Contemporary Art. Studio 3lhd, 2016.
- Belamarić, Joško and Šverko, Ana (eds.). *Robert Adam and Diocletian's Palace in Split*. Zagreb: Školska knjiga, Institut za povijest umjetnosti, 2017.
- Belamarić, Joško. "Il problema dell 'fosse urbane' del quadrante sud-est del Palazzo di Diocleziano a Spalato". In: *Archeologia urbana e Progetto di architettura: 19-28*. Edited by Segarra Lagunes, Maria M. Rome: Gangemi editore, 2002.
- Belamarić, Joško. *Split: od palače do grada*. Split: Konzervatorski odjel, 1997.
- Belamarić, Joško. "The Date of Foundation and Original Function of Diocletian's Palace at Split". *Hortus Artium Medievalium* 9 (2003): 173-185.
- Benson, John F. "The Visualization of Windfarms". In: *Visualization in Landscape and Environmental Planning: 184-192*. Edited by Bishop, Ian and Lange, Eckart. New York: Taylor & Francis, 2005.
- Box, George E.P. "Robustness in the Strategy of Scientific Model Building". In: *Robustness in Statistics: 201-236*. Edited by Launer, Robert L. and Wilkinson, Graham N. New York: Academic Press, 1979.
- Box, George E. P. "Science and Statistics". *Journal of the American Statistical Association* Vol. 71, No. 356 (1976): 791-799.
- Böhme, Gernot and Engels-Schwarzpaul, Tina. *Atmospheric Architectures - The Aesthetics of Felt Spaces*. E-book: Bloomsbury Publishing, 2017.
- Böhme, Gernot and Thibaud, Jean-Paul. *The Aesthetics of Atmospheres*. London: Routledge, 2016.
- Brenk, Beat. "Spolia from Constantine to Charlemagne: Aesthetics versus Ideology". *Dumbarton Oaks Papers* No. 41 (1987): 103-109.
- Buble, Sanja; Marasović, Jerko; Marasović, Katja and Perojević, Snježana. "Spatial Development of the Southeast Part of Diocletian's Palace". *Prostor* Vol. 8, No. 2 (20) (2000): 175-238.
- Carstensen, Trine A. and Oldrup, Helene H. "Producing Geographical Knowledge through Visual Methods". *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography* Vol. 94, No. 3 (2012).
- Carmona et al. *Public Places - Urban Spaces. The Dimensions of Urban Design*. E-book: Routledge, 2021.
- von Chamisso, Adelbert. *The Wonderful History of Peter Schlemihl - The Man Who Lost His Shadow*. Nuremberg: Schrag'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1814.
- Chen, Dan & Li, Ji. "Process-Led Value Elicitation Within Built Heritage Management: A Systematic Literature Review Between 2010 and 2020". *Journal of Architectural Conservation*, 27 (2021): 1-16.

- Cho, Young Hoan and Jonassen, David. "Externalizing Mental Models with Mindtools". In: *Understanding Models for Learning and Instruction: 145-149*. Edited by Ifenthaler, Dirk; Pirnay-Dummer, Pablo and Spector, J. Michael. New York: Springer International Publishing, 2008.
- Cosgrove, Denis. *Geography and Vision Seeing, Imagining and Representing the World*. London/ New York: I. B. Tauris, 2008.
- Cross, Nigel. *Designerly Ways of Knowing*. London: Springer-Verlag Ltd., 2006.
- Crysler, C. Greig. *Writing Spaces: Discourses of Architecture, Urbanism and the Built Environment, 1960-2000*. New York: Routledge, 2003.
- Ding, Wowo. "Mapping Urban Space: Moving Image as a Research Tool". In: *Urban Cinematics: Understanding Urban Phenomena Through the Moving Image: 257-280*. Edited by Penz, François and Lu, Andong. Bristol / Chicago: Intellect, 2011.
- Djabarouti, Johnathan A. "The Impact of Intangible Heritage on Architectural and Building Conservation Practices in the UK". Dissertation, Manchester Metropolitan University, 2021.
- Dovey, Kim. "Place as Assemblage". In: *The Routledge Handbook of Place*. Edited by Edensor, Tim; Kalandides, Ares and Kothari, Uma. London: Routledge, 2020.
- DuFour, Tao. "Colonnofagia, and the Dissolution of the Wall". In *Phenomenologies of the City: Studies in the History and Philosophy of Architecture*. Edited by Steiner, Henriette and Maximilian Sternberg. London and New York: Routledge, 2015.
- DuFour, Tao. "Tao DuFour: Colonnofagia and the Dissolution of the Wall". Cornell AAP: Architecture, Art, Planning. Accessed 2 March 2019. <https://aap.cornell.edu/news-events/tao-dufour-colonnofagia-and-dissolution-wall>.
- Dunsford, Helen et al. *Tranquillity Mapping: Developing a Robust Methodology for Planning Support*. Report to the Campaign to Protect Rural England. Northumbria University / University of Newcastle upon Tyne, 2008.
- Edensor, Tim; Kalandides, Ares and Kothari, Uma (editors). *The Routledge Handbook of Place*. London: Routledge, 2020.
- Geertz, Clifford. *The Interpretation of Cultures*. New York: Basic Books, 1973.
- Goethe, Johann W. "On German Architecture". In: *Goethe's Literary Essays: 3-14*. Edited by Spingarn, Joel E. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company, 1921.
- Gombrich, Ernst H. *Shadows: The Depiction of Cast Shadows in Western Art*. London: Yale University Press, 2014.
- Greenough, Sarah and Travis, David. "On the Art of Fixing a Shadow: One Hundred and Fifty Years of Photography". Catalogue of an exhibition held at the National Gallery of Art (7th May - 30th July 1989), the Art Institute of Chicago (9th September - 26th November 1989), the Los Angeles County Museum of Art (21st December 1989 - 25th February 1990).
- Gubanova, Elena. "The Observer Effect: The Artistic Image as a Metaphor for Scientific Interpretations of the Natural World". *Leonardo* Vol. 54, No. 1 (2021): 71-78.
- Hertzberger, Herman. "Time-based Buildings". In: *Time-Based Architecture: 82-91*. Edited by Leupen, Bernard; Heijne, René and van Zwol, Jasper. Rotterdam: 010, 2005.
- Hertzberger, Herman. *Lessons for Students in Architecture*. Rotterdam: 010 Publishers, 2005.
- Hirschfeld, Christian C. L. "On the Various Characters of Landscape and Their Effects". In: *Theory of Garden Art: 171-202*. Edited and translated by Parshall, Linda B. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2001.

- <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/sites/digital-agenda/files/Manifesto.pdf>
- Ingold, Tim. "Introduction". In: *Imagining Landscapes: Past, Present and Future*: 1-18. Edited by Ingold, Tim and Janowski, Monica. London and New York: Routledge, 2012.
- Ingold, Tim. "The Temporality of Landscape". *World Archaeology* Vol. 25, No. 2 (1993): 152-174.
- Kawano, Yoh; Presner, Todd and Shepard, David. *HyperCities. Thick Mapping in the Digital Humanities*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2014.
- Kite, Stephen. *Shadow-Makers: A Cultural History of Shadows in Architecture*. London: Bloomsbury Publishing, 2016.
- Koens, Ko; Potsma, Albert and Papp, Bernadett. "Is Overtourism Overused? Understanding the Impact of Tourism in a City Context". *Sustainability* No. 10 (2018): 43-84.
- Koetter, Fred and Rowe, Colin. *Collage City*. Cambridge (Mass.): MIT press, 1983.
- Koolhaas, Rem and Otero-Pailos, Jorge. *Preservation is Overtaking Us*. <https://www.arch.columbia.edu/books/reader/6-preservation-is-overtaking-us>.
- Koolhaas, Rem. "Junkspace". *October* 100, *Obsolescence*. Cambridge (Mass.), 2002: 175-190.
- Leatherbarrow, David. *Building Time: Architecture, Event and Experience*. London: Bloomsbury Publishing, 2020.
- Leupen, Bernard. "Towards Time-based Architecture". In: *Time-based Architecture*: 12-20. Edited by Leupen, Bernard; Heijne, Rene and van Zwol, Jasper. 010 Publishers, 2005.
- Liu, Mei and Njihuis, Steffen. "The Application of Advanced Mapping Methods and Tools for Spatial-Visual Analysis in Landscape Design Practice". *Sustainability* Vol. 13, No. 14 (2021).
- Mackrodt, Ulrike. "How Atmospheres Inform Urban Planning Practice - Insights from the Tempelhof Airfield in Berlin". *Ambiances* No. 5 (2019)
- Malpas, Jeff. *Heidegger and the Thinking of Place: Explorations in the Topology of Being*. Cambridge (Mass.): MIT Press, 2012.
- Marasović, Jerko et al. *Diocletian's Palace*, 6 vols. Split: Town Planning Institute of Dalmatia, 1972-89.
- Marasović, Jerko, Marasović, Tomislav and McNally, Sheila. *Diocletian's Palace: Report on Joint Excavations, Smithsonian Institution and the Yugoslav Institute of Technical Cooperation*. Split: Urbanistički zavod Dalmacije, 1976.
- Marinković, Vinka. "Conservation of the Peristyle of Diocletian's Palace in Split". Croatian Conservation Institute. Accessed 3 March 2019. <http://www.h-r-z.hr/en/index.php/djelatnosti/konzerviranje-restauriranje/kamena-plastika/335-obnova-peristila-dioklecijanove-palae-u-splitu>.
- Markwell, Kevin W. "Photo-Documentation and Analyses as Research Strategies in Human Geography". *Geographical Research* Vol. 38, No. 1 (2000): 91-98.
- Markwell, Kevin W. "Seeing is Believing is Knowing: Towards a Critique of Pure Vision: A Rejoinder". *Geographical Research* Vol. 38, No. 3 (2000): 341-343.
- Massey, Doreen B. *For Space*. New York: Sage Publications, 2005.
- Massey, Doreen B. "The Future of Landscape", interview by Stevens, Andrew. 3:AM Magazine, <https://www.3ammagazine.com/3am/the-future-of-landscape-doreen-massey>.
- McNally, Sheila. "The Architectural Ornament of Diocletian's Palace at Split". British Archaeological Reports Ltd. Vol. 639 (1996).

- Miller, Vincent Miller. "The Unmappable: Vagueness and Spatial Experience". *Space and Culture* Vol. 9, No. 4 (2006): 453-467.
- Naginski, Erika. "The Imprimatur of Decadence: Robert Adam and the Imperial Palatine Tradition". In: *Dalmatia and the Mediterranean. Portable Archaeology and the Poetics of Influence*: 79-114. Edited by Payne, Alina. Leiden: Brill, 2014.
- Natarajan, Priyamvada. "Cosmos, Chaos and Order: Mapping as Knowing". *Leonardo* Vol. 54, No. 1 (2021): 107-114.
- Nikišić, Goran. "Diocletian's Palace - Design and Construction". In: *Bruckneudorf und Gamzigrad. Spätantike Paläste und Großvillen im Donau-Balkan-Raum*: 187-203. Edited by von Bülow, Gerda and Zabehlicky, Heinrich. Bonn: Habelt, 2008.
- Nikišić, Goran. "Restoration of the Peristyle of Diocletian's Palace in Split". In: *ASMOSIA XI, Interdisciplinary Studies on Ancient Stone*: 863-870. Edited by Matetić Poljak, Daniela and Marasović, Katja. Split: Arts Academy and Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy at the University of Split, 2018.
- Nikišić, Goran. "The use of brick in Diocletian's Palace at Split". *Archeologia dell'architettura* XX, 2015. Firenze: All'insegna del Giglio, 2015.
- Norman, Donald A. "Design Rules Based on Analyses of Human Error", *Communications of the ACM* Vol. 26, No. 4 (1983): 254-258.
- Otero-Pailos, Jorge. "Heritage Conservation Future: Where We Stand, Challenges Ahead, and a Paradigm Shift". *Global Challenges* Vol. 6, No. 1 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.202100084>.
- Pérez de Arce, Rodrigo. *Urban Transformations and the Architecture of Additions*. New York: Routledge, 2015.
- Plevoets, Bie and van Cleempoel, Koenraad. *Adaptive Reuse as a Strategy Towards Conservation of Cultural Heritage: a Survey of 19th and 20th Century Theories*. 2012.
- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263124836_Adaptive_Reuse_as_a_Strategy_towards_Conservation_of_Cultural_Heritage_a_Survey_of_19th_and_20th_Century_Theories.
- Pope, Albert, and Aureli, Pier Vittorio. *Ladders*. Princeton: Architectural Press, 2015.
- Rolph, Edward. *Place and Placelessness*. London: Pion, 1976.
- Riley, Terence. *Light Construction*. New York: The Museum of Modern Art, 1995.
- Rossi, Aldo. *The Architecture of the City*. Cambridge (Mass.): MIT Press, 1982.
- Røvik, Kjell A. *A Translation Theory of Knowledge Transfer: Learning across Organizational Borders*. Oxford: University Press, 2023.
- Rupnik, Ivan. *A Peripheral Moment: Experiments in Architectural Agency: Croatia 1990-2010*. Actar, 2010.
- Ruskin, John. *The Stones of Venice*. London: Dent, 1921.
- Sadowski, Piotr. "In the Kingdom of Shadows: Towards a Cognitive Definition of Photographic Media". In: *Operationalizing Iconicity*: 231-244. Edited by Perniss, Pamela; Fischer, Olga and Ljungberg, Christina. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2020.
- Sadowski, Piotr. *The Semiotics of Light and Shadows: Modern Visual Arts and Weimar Cinema*. London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2018.
- Sands, Frances. "Reconstructed and in Ruins: The Influence of Diocletian's Palace within the Drawings of Robert Adam". In: *Robert Adam and Diocletian's Palace in Split*: 379-400. Edited by Belamarić, Joško and Šverko, Ana. Zagreb: Školska knjiga, Institut za povijest umjetnosti, 2017.
- Schimmerling, André. "Entretiens sur L'Architecture à Royaumont". *Le Carré Bleu* No. 4 (1962).

- Seamon, David and Sowers, Jacob. "Place and Placelessness, Edward Relph". In: *Key Texts in Human Geography*: 43-51. Edited by Hubbard, Phil; Kitchin, Rob and Valentine, Gill. London: Sage Publications, 2008.
- Shepperson, Mary. "Planning for the Sun: Urban Forms as a Mesopotamian Response to the Sun". *World Archaeology* Vol. 41, No. 3 (2009): 363-378.
- Shepperson, Mary. *Sunlight and Shade in the First Cities: A Sensory Archaeology of Early Iraq*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2017.
- Smithson, Alison. "How to Recognize and Read Mat-Building: Mainstream Architecture as it has Developed towards the Mat-building". *Architectural design* Vol. 44, No. 9 (1974).
- Sorensen, Roy. *Seeing Dark Things: The Philosophy of Shadows*. Oxford: University Press, 2008.
- Spurr, David. *Architecture and Modern Literature*. Michigan: University of Michigan Press, 2012.
- Šeparović Palada, Maja. "Ivan Meštrović: Spomenik Grguru Ninskom u Splitu". Exhibition at the Ivan Meštrović Museums, 2016.
- Šverko, Ana. *Diocletian's palace: Palace of Places*. Zagreb: Ex libris, 2011.
- Šverko, Ana. "Domesticity within a Historical Centre: A Case for Safeguarding Diocletian's Palace". *studies in History and Theory of Architecture* No. 9 (2021): 293-306.
- Šverko, Ana. "The Life of a House / New Architecture as Future Architectural Heritage: Bogdan Budimirov's 'House for Two'". *Život umjetnosti: časopis za suvremena likovna zbivanja* Vol. 102, No. 1 (2018): 66-97.
- Šverko, Ana. *A City Is (Not) a House. A Dialogue between the New and the Old Split / Urban Design Prelude*. Edited by Šerman, Karin and Belamarić, Joško. Zagreb: UPI-2M plus, 2016.
- Tanizaki, Junichiro. *In Praise of Shadows*. Sedgwick: Leete's Island Books, 1991.
- Tavassoli, Mahmoud. "City Planning in the Hot, Dry Climate of Iran". In: *Design for Arid Regions*. Edited by Golany, Gideon. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1983.
- Tawa, Michael. *Atmosphere, Architecture, Cinema: Thematic Reflections on Ambiance and Place*. New York: Springer International Publishing, 2022.
- Team 10 Online. <http://www.team10online.org>.
- Thom, Colin. "'Spalatro on Thames': How Diocletian's Palace Inspired Robert Adam's Most Audacious Development – the Adelphi". In: *Robert Adam and Diocletian's Palace in Split*: 401-428. Edited by Belamarić, Joško and Šverko, Ana. Zagreb: Školska knjiga, Institut za povijest umjetnosti, 2017.
- Tschumi, Bernard. *Architecture and Disjunction*. London, Cambridge (Mass.): MIT Press, 1996.
- Turpin, Etienne. "Introduction: Who Does the Earth Think It Is, Now?" In: *Architecture in the Anthropocene: Encounters among Design, Deep Time, Science and Philosophy*. Edited by Turpin, Etienne: 3-10. Open Humanities Press, 2013.
- Unwin, Simon. *Shadow, the Architectural Power of Withholding Light*. London: Routledge, 2020.
- Valero Ramos, Elisa. *Light in Architecture – The Intangible Material*. E-book: RIBA Publishing, 2019.
- Wilkes, John Joseph. *Diocletian's Palace, Split: residence of a retired Roman emperor*. Sheffield: University of Sheffield, 1986.
- Wolfe, Charles T. and Gal, Ofer (eds.) *The Body as Object and Instrument of Knowledge*. New York: Springer International Publishing, 2010.
- Woods, Shadrach. "Urban Environment: The Search for System". In: *World Architecture 1*. Edited by Donate, John. London: Studio Vista, 1964.

Damir Gamulin is a designer and researcher with a focus on the interplay between technology, disciplines, and scales. He holds a degree in Design Studies from the Faculty of Architecture, University of Zagreb. Gamulin's diverse expertise covers a broad spectrum: from graphic, interior, and architectural design to museum exhibition setups and cutting-edge digital interactive designs. His research-driven design projects have garnered numerous awards and recognitions. They have been showcased as exhibitions, installations, or designs at prestigious venues including the *Triennale di Milano* in 2022 (in collaboration with A. Sevšek, I. Slipčević, and J. Kalajžić), the *Taipei Biennial* in 2020 (alongside N. Bojić and M. Roman), the *Venice Biennale* where he took on the art direction of the Croatian pavilion in 2006, and various regional events. Gamulin is cofounder of OO (Organizirano oblikovanje / Objet Order), design and research practice, that he established with Antun Sevšek. Their designs and self-initiated research projects often explore spatial transformation themes, such as the *Adriatic Highway - Infrastructure as a generator of territory project*, which was presented at Croatian art galleries and publications, including scholarly journal *Život umjetnosti* (2015). Recently, his efforts have centered on digital reinterpretations of spatial experiences, pushing the boundaries of technology.

Leslie Lok is a cofounder and coprincipal at H.A.N.N.A.H, an experimental design practice for built and speculative projects across numerous scales. H.A.N.N.A.H's work focuses on contemporary building practices and utilizes novel material applications and innovative construction methods to address subjects of architecture and urbanism. Allied with computational technology and with a focus on urbanism, Lok's research explores the intersection of housing, urbanization, and mass-customized construction methods at multiple scales. Her teaching at Cornell also focuses on visual representation relating to topics in urbanism. She previously taught design studios and visual representation seminars at McGill University.

Prior to the founding of H.A.N.N.A.H, Lok practiced architecture at various offices in the U.S., Canada, and China. Her contributions were exhibited at the 2019 *Bi-City Biennale of Urbanism/Architecture* in Shenzhen, Canadian Centre for Architecture, the Pinkcomma Gallery in Boston, and have appeared in various publications such as *Frame Magazine*, *Wettbewerb aktuell*, and *Mark magazine*.

Lok received her master of architecture at MIT and bachelor of arts at Wellesley College. H.A.N.N.A.H won the 2018 *Folly/Function Competition*.

Ana Šverko is an architect and architectural historian, a Senior Research Associate at the Institute of Art History - Cvito Fisković Centre in Split, and Assistant Professor at the University of Split. She graduated in architecture from the University of Zagreb in 1998, received her Master of Urban Design degree from UC Berkeley in 2002, and her PhD from the University of Zagreb in 2011. From 2014 to 2015 she participated in Harvard University's postdoc research seminar *Art on the Move in Eastern Europe and the Mediterranean in the Early Modern Period* supported by the Getty Foundation. She led a research project related to the Grand Tour of Dalmatia (2014–17), the *Croatian Glossary of Classical Architecture* project (2018–19) and since 2019 she has been a team member on the project *Exposition [Ekspozicija]: Themes and Aspects of Croatian Photography from the 19th Century until Today*, all funded by the Croatian Science Foundation. In recent years her research was also supported by the Getty Foundation's Digital Art History initiative (2018–19), and the Paul Mellon Centre (2021). She was awarded a Berenson Fellowship at *I Tatti, The Harvard University Center for Italian Renaissance Studies*, Florence, in 2022. She is the author of papers, chapters and awarded books on the architectural history, perception and representation of places, from the early modern to contemporary periods, focusing on the Eastern Adriatic.

Sasa Zivkovic is an assistant professor at Cornell University AAP where he directs the Robotic Construction Laboratory (RCL), an interdisciplinary research group investigating robotic based construction technology. Zivkovic is a co-principal of HANNAH, an experimental architecture practice based in Ithaca, New York. HANNAH's *RRRolling Stones* project won the 2018 *Folly/Function* competition hosted by the Architectural League of New York and Socrates Sculpture Park. In December 2018, HANNAH was named *Next Progressives* by *Architect Magazine* and recently completed a 3D printed Guard House in Suzhou, a pro-bono Modular Dental Clinic in Ladakh in India, and a 3D printed Corbel Cabin in Ithaca, New York.

Zivkovic pursued his graduate studies at MIT where he was the recipient of the AIA Certificate of Merit, a merit-based MIT full tuition scholarship, and a scholarship from the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD). Prior to MIT, Zivkovic studied architecture and city planning at Stuttgart University where he was awarded a fellowship from the German National Academic Foundation (*Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes*) from 2007–12. At Cornell, Zivkovic teaches graduate and undergraduate core design studios as well as elective seminar classes or option studios with a focus on digital fabrication, construction, and representation.

Graphic Editor

Damir Gamulin

Proofreading

Tomislav Bosnić and Sarah Rengel

Printing and Binding

Sveučilišna tiskara d.o.o.

www.sveučilišnatiskara.hr

Paper

Munken Pure

Print run

200

Printed in Croatia, August 2023

Back Cover Image

Split, ortophotograph, 2019

City of Split

ISBN

978-953-7875-64-0

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from
the National and University Library in Zagreb under 001132118.

